

SHORT NOTES

EFFECT OF SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASE ON THE YIELDS OF THREE RICE VARIETIES

B.L. HO

Accepted for publication on 2 June, 1979

Keywords : Sheath blight, *Rhizoctonia solani*,  
Yield loss, Varietal resistance.

RINGKASAN

Penyakit padi hawar kelopak boleh menyebabkan kesusutan hasil sebanyak 30% bagi jenis padi IR 1487 yang mudah diserang penyakit ini. Kesusutan hasil sebanyak 1-4% bagi jenis padi yang tahan diserang (IR 20) dan sederhana tahan diserang (Mahsuri) didapati tidak bererti dibandingkan dengan petak "control".

INTRODUCTION

The sheath blight disease of rice is caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn which is an imperfect stage of the fungus *Thanatephorus cucumeris* (Frank) Donk (OU, *et al*, 1973). The disease was reported and described in Peninsular Malaysia as early as 1958 (JOHNSTON, 1958) and has been described as widespread and severe in several rice growing regions in Peninsular Malaysia (CHIN, 1973). It infects rice plants (leaf blades, leaf sheaths and culms) at different stages of growth but the damage is more prominent at prebooting stage. The disease infection could increase with high nitrogen application and there is a fear that with the widespread cultivation of high nitrogen responsive varieties and wide usage of nitrogenous fertilizers, the disease could become a serious problem and the damage to rice plant could be considerable. Recently the disease has severely affected heavily fertilized rice fields in the Kubu Gajah area in Kepong and the Tanjong Karang areas in the State of Selangor.

Yield reduction of 20-25% has been reported in Japan and in the Philippines and damage has been reported to be severe in some seasons (OU, 1972). In Malaysia, however, the disease has been noted to be severe but yield loss assessment has not been carried out so far. Therefore the present study was conducted to assess yield losses for three rice varieties of different reaction to the disease under artificially inoculated conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seedlings of rice variety IR 1487, a variety susceptible to sheath blight disease were raised in the nursery for 25 days, and fertilized with 0.5 Kg of ammophos fertilizer per seedling bed of 15 x 1m. The seedlings were transplanted into the test plots at the rate of two seedlings per point and at the spacing of 25 x 25cm. These transplanted seedlings were fertilized with a total of 90 KgN/ha, 45 KgP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and 34 KgK<sub>2</sub>O/ha in the forms of urea, double superphosphate and muriate of potash respectively.

The experiment was conducted in a split plot design where the main treatments were (a) control plots (uninoculated) and (b) inoculated plots. The subtreatments consisted of three rice varieties IR 20 (resistant), Mahsuri (intermediate) and IR 1487 (susceptible). Each sub-plot

measured 5m square. The inoculated plots were treated with the sheath blight straw-inoculum at the rate of three inoculum straws per hill and prevented from floating out by a piece of rubber band. The control plots were similarly treated with sterilised straws.

Using the disease scales prepared by the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI 1975), disease scoring was done at the ripening stage at the rate of ten hills per plot.

## RESULTS

The average disease scores obtained in the study confirmed that these three varieties showed distinct differential reactions to sheath blight disease (*Table 1*). IR 20 was resistant to sheath blight (average score 2.7), Mahsuri was intermediate in reaction (average score 3.7) and IR 1487 was susceptible (average score 7.9).

TABLE 1. DISEASE REACTIONS OF THREE RICE VARIETIES AGAINST SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASE OF RICE

Variety	Replicate		Disease Score		Varietal Reaction
	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
IR 20	2.6	3.2	2.2	2.7	Resistant Intermediate Susceptible
Mahsuri	3.2	3.8	4.0	3.7	
IR 1487	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.9	

Mean	=	4.7556	
SE/obs	=	0.3887	
CV x	=	8.17%	
SE (mean difference)	=		= 0.3171
LSD 5% (between varieties)	=		= 0.8823
LSD 1% (between varieties)	=		= 1.4600
*	=	Significant at 5% level	
**	=	Significant at 1% level	

The total grain yields recorded for the inoculated and the uninoculated plots (*Table 2*) showed that there was a highly significant yield reduction between the two treatments which depended on the susceptibility ratings of the rice varieties (*Table 3*). There was no significant yield reduction between resistant and intermediate varieties (IR 20 and Mahsuri) whereas yield reduction was highly significant for susceptible variety (IR 1487) (*Table 2*). The yield reduction for IR 20 and Mahsuri were 1.3% and 4.4% respectively which were not significantly different from each other. However for a susceptible variety (IR 1487) the yield reduction was 30% which was very significantly higher than the resistant and intermediate varieties (*Table 4*).

TABLE 2. GRAIN YIELDS\* OF THREE RICE VARIETIES AS AFFECTED BY THE SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASE OF RICE

Replicate	Variety	IR 20		Mahsuri		IR 1487	
		C	I	C	I	C	I
R1		5300	5300	6000	5600	5600	4000
R2		5250	5100	6000	5800	5300	3200
R3		5400	5350	6000	5800	5400	4520
Mean		5316.7	5250.0	6000.0	5733.3	5433.3	3816.7
Test of significance		NS		NS		**	
	C	=	Control plots (uninoculated)				
	I	=	Inoculated plots				
	*	=	In grams				
	NS	=	Not significant at 5% level				
	**	=	Significant at 1% level				

TABLE 3. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE ON THE DATA IN TABLE 2

Source	df	SS	MS	F-test
Replicate	2	215,833.3333	107,916.6667	1.6550 NS
Variety	2	4,630,833.3333	2,315,416.6667	35.5080 **
Error I	4	260,833.3334		
Sub-total	8	5,107,500.0000		
Treatment	1	1,901,250.0000	1,901,250.0000	46.5612 **
Treat. x Var.	2	2,132,500.0000	1,066,250.0000	26.1122 **
Error II	6	245,000.0000		
Total	17	9,386,250.0000		
Overall mean		=	5258.3333	
SE/obs (Error I)		=	255.3592	
CV x (I)		=	4.86%	
SE/obs (Error II)		=	202.0726	
CV x (II)		=	3.84%	
SE (mean difference)		=	164.99	
Between Inoculated & Control for a given variety:—				
LSD 5%		=	404.23	
LSD 1%		=	612.11	
**	=	Significant at 1% level		

TABLE 4. % YIELD LOSSES OF THREE RICE VARIETIES CAUSED BY THE SHEATH BLIGHT DISEASE OF RICE

Variety	Replicate	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	% Mean	Sin <sup>-1</sup> √ P
IR 20		0	2.85	0.93	1.26	5.0844
Mahsuri		6.67	3.33	3.33	4.44	11.9986
IR 1487		28.57	39.62	21.30	29.83	32.9350

} NS  
 } \*\*

Mean	=	16.6727	
SE/obs	=	4.5512	
CV x	=	27.30%	
SE (mean difference)	=	3.7160	
LSD 5%	=	10.3305)	with reference to Sin <sup>-1</sup> √P
LSD 1%	=	17.0936)	
NS	=	Not significant at 5% level	
**	=	Significant at 1% level	
NB	=	Analysis is based on Sin <sup>-1</sup> √P	

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Encik Azil Abdul Rahman and Encik Han Teng Siew for technical assistance and the Statistics Section, Bumbong Lima for Statistical analyses of the data.

#### SUMMARY

Sheath blight disease of rice could cause a very significant yield loss of 30% for a susceptible variety IR 1487. For a resistant variety (IR 20) and an intermediate variety (Mahsuri), the yield losses of 1-4% were not significant.

#### REFERENCES

- CHIN, K.M. (1973). Studies on the sheath blight disease of rice in West Malaysia. M.Sc thesis. Univ. Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia. 67 pp.
- JOHNSTON, A. (1958). Disease of padi. Malay Agric. J. 41(1) : 10-17.
- OU, S.H. (1972). Rice disease. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England. pp 256-257.
- OU, S.H., BANDONG, J.M. & NUQUE, F.L. (1973). Some studies on sheath blight of rice at IRRI. Report presented at the International Rice Research Conference, IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines. 6 pp.

## NOTES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

(Research Bulletins of the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute  
published biannually in June and December)

**Contributions** will be welcomed from scientists of all nations particularly those working in tropical and sub-tropical countries. Contributions must be written in English and should be addressed to the Director-General of MARDI, Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, P.O. Box 202, UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

**Conditions of acceptance.** Submission of a paper will be taken to imply that the material has not previously been published, and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. Papers published in MARDI Research Bulletin may not be printed or published in translation without the permission of the Editor.

**General Lay-out.** Contributors should conform to the lay-out as practised by this Research Bulletin. Numerical data, which should only be included if they are essential to the argument, can be presented either in the form of tables or diagrams, but should never be given in both forms.

**Typescripts.** Three copies of the script should be submitted, typed with double spacing throughout, on one side only and with margins of about 1½ inches all round. Quarto size is preferred.

**Title.** It is essential that the title of each paper should be concise and contain the maximum of relevant information particularly, for example, where relevant, the crop, the nature of the investigation, the factors under review, climatic or geographic area in which the work was done.

**Headings.** The following details should be given at the head of the first sheet: the full title of the paper; a short title for running headlines, not exceeding 48 characters, counting each letter and space as one character; the name(s) of the author(s); the address at which the work was carried out; the present address(es) of author(s), if different.

**Summary.** A short and accurate summary must be included. The preparation of the summary is not an Editorial responsibility. Papers received without adequate summaries will be returned to the author. Author(s) should also provide a summary in Bahasa Malaysia.

**Experimentation.** This Research Bulletin specialised in the presentation of data based on sound methods of experimentation. It is therefore important, where appropriate that papers should include: an adequate account of lay-out: full description of treatments and appropriate statistical significance treatment where relevant. Authors are urged to give the dates when experiments were carried out.

These should only be included where they are essential in the paper, and will only be accepted if of high quality. Photographs should be provided as unmounted glossy black and white prints. Lettering is to be indicated separately. Prints should not be damaged.

**Diagrams.** Diagrams should be drawn in Indian ink on white drawing paper, and the precise position of all lettering should be indicated. Each illustration should bear the name of the author(s) and the figure number, written clearly in the margin or on the back.

**Legends.** The legends for all illustrations should be given on a separate sheet of paper, clearly marked with the number of each plate or diagram. The ideal position for each diagram should be marked in the text, although it may not always be possible to put the illustration exactly in the positions indicated. Plates will normally be bound immediately after the end of the paper.

**Tables.** Each table should be typed on a separate sheet of paper, and its preferred position indicated on the typescript. Each table should be numbered and bear an appropriate title.

**Units.** Data should be presented in metric units.

**References.** The Harvard system of citation is used throughout as follows: name and initial(s) of author(s); year of publication in parentheses, further distinguished by the addition of small letters a, b, c, etc., where there are citations to more than one paper published by the same author(s) in one year; contracted title of periodical as given in the World List of Scientific Periodicals; volume number in arabic figures, page numbers. In the text, references should be denoted by giving the name of the author(s) with the date of publication in parentheses, e.g. Brown (1937)..... (Brown, 1937), (Brown, 1937a; (Jones and Smith, 1942a, b). In the list of references all names should be given in full, but where more than two collaboration authors are quoted in the text, only the first name is normally shown, followed by et al.

**Referees.** All manuscripts are refereed.

**Proofs.** Two sets of single-sided page proofs will be sent to each author, and it is the responsibility of the author(s) to submit corrections to the Editor, by returning to him the printers' marked proof (identified by the words 'marked copy') with all corrections. Corrections should be made using symbols in British Standard 1219: 1958, or its shortened version B.S. 1219c: 1958.

**Reprints.** Twenty five reprints will be sent free of charge to the author(s). Additional reprints can be made available on request.

All correspondence concerning subscriptions to the Research Bulletins and other business matters should be addressed to the Secretary, Publication Committee, MARDI, P.O. Box 202, UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.