PERFORMANCE OF BROMADIOLONE AS A RODENTICIDE AGAINST RATTUS ARGENTIVENTER

Y.M. LAM*

Keywords: Bromadiolone, Rodenticide, Rattus argentiventer, LD₅₀, LFP₅₀, LFP₉₉, Feeding tests.

RINGKASAN

Racun tikus bromadiolone didapati sangat berkesan terhadap *Rattus argentiventer*. Takaran tunggal (oral) LD_{50} bagi tikus jantan ialah 0.49 mg/kg dan tikus betina pula 0.59 mg/kilogram. Kepekatan racun 0.001% berupaya membunuh tikus jantan dalam tempoh purata (LFP₅₀) 1.43 hari dan tikus betina dalam 1.67 hari. LFP₅₀ dan LFP₉₉ tergembleng (pooled) bagi kedua-dua jantina ialah 1.55 hari dan 4.53 hari. Dalam ujian pemakanan sehari tanpa pilihan didapati bromadiolone pada kepekatan 0.005% dan 0.01% menyebabkan 80% dan 100% kematian ke atas kedua-dua jantina tikus. Purata hari hidup selepas memakan racun bagi tikus jantan ialah 7.5 hari dan tikus betina pula 7.9 hari. Ujian-ujian perasa menunjukkan bahawa bromadiolone diterima baik hingga ke kepekatan 0.02 peratus. Berdasarkan kepada ujian-ujian di atas, bromadiolone pada kepekatan antara 0.005% hingga 0.02% boleh disyorkan untuk mengawal *R. argentiventer*.

INTRODUCTION

Bromadiolone, 3-[3-(4'-bromo[1,1' -biphenyl]-4-yl) - 3 - hydroxy - 1 phenylpropyl] - 4 - hydroxy - 2H - 1 - 1benzopyran -2 - one, is a new potent hydroxycoumarin derivative. Laboratory tests have shown that bromadiolone is Rattus norvegicus highly toxic to (Berkenhout), Rattus rattus (Linnaeus) and Mus musculus (Linnaeus) (GRAND, 1976; LUND, 1977; MARSH, 1977; MEEHAN, 1978; REDFERN and GILL, 1980) and it has also shown good rodenticidal properties against other rodent species (GRAND, 1976; MARSH, 1977; MARSH, HOWARD and JACKSON, 1980). In field trials against warfarinresistant R. norvegicus infestations, 0.005% bromadiolone achieved complete control with unrestricted surplus baiting (RICHARDS, 1981). This paper describes the performance of bromadiolone against Rattus argentiventer (Robinson & Kloss).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bromadiolone of technical grade with 99.8% a.i. was used in the experiments. All doses of bromadiolone are expressed as mg/kg which refer to milligrammes of broma-

diolone per kilogramme of body weight. Determination of the single-dose oral LD_{50} and feeding tests under 'no-choice' and 'choice' conditions were conducted against *R. argentiventer* from an out-bred laboratory colony. Test conditions and methods were as given in LAM (1980) and largely followed the WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION (1982) tests procedures.

For the feeding tests, a master-mix containing 0.25% bromadiolone was first prepared by mixing the technical grade material with powdered rice. The toxicant was presented in a bait-base as described by LAM (1979). The dosage/mortality data were analysed by probit analysis (FINNEY, 1971), using DAUM's (1970) computer programme.

RESULTS

Single-dose Oral LD₅₀

Results of oral intubation with various levels of bromadiolone are given in *Table 1*. Probit analysis of the dose/mortality data (*Table 1*) are summarized in *Table 2*. The LD₅₀ for males and females, with 95% fiducial limits, were 0.49 mg/kg (0.45-0.57

*Rice Research Division, MARDI, Bumbong Lima, Seberang Perai, Penang, Malaysia.

Sex	Mean body	Dose	Mortality	Days t	o death
	weight (g)	(mg/kg)	(dead/treated)	Mean	Range
М	136.2	0	0/10	_	_
М	136.1	0.33	0/10	-	_
М	136.3	0.40	1/10	17.0	_
М	137.3	0.50	5/10	5.0	6-17
М	137.4	0.70	10/10	8.3	5-11
М	131.1	1.00	10/10	8.0	5-10
F	122.2	0	0/10	_	-
F	122.0	0.33	0/10	_	_
F	122.4	0.50	3/10	13.7	6-18
F	121.5	0.70	7/10	7.9	3-11
F	122.1	1.00	10/10	8.3	4-15

Table 1. Results of oral intubation with various levels of
bromadiolone against Rattus argentiventer

mg/kg) and 0.59 mg/kg (0.50-0.69 mg/kg) respectively (*Table 2*). The probit dosage/ response line for males and females did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism and using the females as reference, the estimated relative potency (with 95% fiducial limits) was 1.2 (1.0-1.4).

Feeding Tests

No-choice feeding tests

No-choice feeding tests with bromadiolone at concentrations of 0.001% - 0.01%were conducted. Results of no-choice tests are summarized in *Table 3*. Bromadiolone at 0.002% and 0.005% caused 100% mortality in both sexes in two-day tests. At 0.01%bromadiolone caused 100% mortality in both sexes in one-day tests. The lowest lethal dose in males was 0.30 mg/kg and the highest dose survived was 1.07 mg/kg (*Table 3*). In the case of females the lowest lethal dose was 0.36 mg/ kg and the highest dose survived was 1.34 mg/ kg (*Table 3*).

Mean days to death (combined data from no-choice tests) for males was 7.5 days (range 4–14 days) and females 7.9 days (range 4–14 days). No significant difference was detected in the mean days to death between the sexes (t = 1.2538; d.f. = 222; P>0.05). There was no evidence that bromadiolone at higher concentrations caused death more rapidly (Table 3). Probit analysis of the dose (feeding period)/mortality data is given in Table 4. The median lethal feeding periods (LFP₅₀), with 95% fiducial limits, for male and female R. argentiventer were 1.43 days (1.13-1.71 days) and 1.67 days (1.36-1.96 days) respectively (Table 4). There was no significant difference in the LFP₅₀ between males and females and the data were pooled for probit analysis. The pooled LFP₅₀ of 0.001% bromadiolone against R. argentiventer was 1.55 days (1.34-1.75 days) and the pooled LFP₉₉ was 4.53 days (3.66-6.34 days) respectively (Table 4).

Choice feeding tests

Choice feeding tests were conducted with bromadiolone at concentrations of 0.002% - 0.05% and the results obtained are summarized in *Table 5*. In general, rats did not show significant aversion to the bromadiolone baits up to the 0.02% level. Under choice conditions 0.005% bromadiolone caused 80% mortality in the males and 100% mortality in the females. Bromadiolone at 0.01% caused 90% mortality in males and 100% mortality in females. Bromadiolone at 0.02% and 0.05% caused 100% mortality in both sexes. Both male

$M Y = 1$ (4) $F Y = (2)$ $\frac{2}{1 \text{Lines did noi}}$ $Tahl$	$\frac{Y = 15.83X - 5.96}{(4.81)^*}$ $\frac{Y = 9.53X - 2.34}{(2.63)}$ in parentheses dencid not contradict the H id not contradict t	- 5.96 0.26 - 2.34 0.58 ses denote the ict the hypoth ict the hypo	e standard err esis of parallel Peeding period (days)	0.49 0.59 0.59 or of the sloj lism (Chi-sq. 2 eding tests dead/ treated)	M $Y = 15.83X - 5.96$ 0.26 2 0.49 $0.45-0.57$ F $Y = 9.53X - 2.34$ 0.58 2 0.59 $0.50-0.69$ (2.63) (2.63) (2.63) (2.63) (2.63) *Figures in parentheses denote the standard error of the slope of regression line.'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using femi'I tration of weight periodMortality'Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of porteo did not prepait'I tration of weightperiod'I days)(dad''I prebaitof prebait'I prepaitof prebait	57 0. 59 0. 59 0. an line. an line. an line. an line. An line. An line. An line. An line.	0.63 0.88 as reference. ons of brc <u>v</u> Mean n 0.45	0.55-0.97 0.74-1.44 ence, the relative pc rence, the relative pc f bromadiolone Lethal dose of Lethal dose of poison (mg/kg) fean Range	7 4 potency wa e against Survi Poise Mean	M Y = 15.83X - 5.96 0.26 2 0.49 $0.45 - 0.57$ 0.63 $0.55 - 0.97$ 0.69 $0.59 - 1.23$ F Y = 9.53X - 2.34 0.58 2 $0.50 - 0.69$ 0.88 $0.74 - 1.44$ 1.03 $0.83 - 2.03$ F Y = 9.53X - 2.34 0.58 2 $0.50 - 0.69$ 0.88 $0.74 - 1.44$ 1.03 $0.83 - 2.03$ Figures in parenthese denote the standard error of the slope of regression line. (2.63) $0.74 - 1.44$ 1.03 $0.83 - 2.03$ ¹ Figures in parenthese denote the standard error of the slope of regression line. (2.63) $0.74 - 1.44$ 1.03 $0.83 - 2.03$ ¹ Lines did not contradict the hypothesis of parallelism (Chi-sq. 2.2; 5 d.f.), using females as reference, the relative potency was 1.2 (95% fiducial limits 1.0 - 1.4). Table 3. Results of no-choice feeding tests with 4 concentrations of bromadiolone against <i>Rattus argentiventer</i> Table 3. Results of no-choice feeding Mortality Bait intake (g) poison (mg/g) poison (mg/g) poison (mg/g) Concension for the slope of traine for the relative graves of the slope of traine for the relative graves of traine for the relative graves of tratus argentiventer	0.59-1.23 0.83-2.03 cial limits 1 cial limits 1 cial limits 1 Mean	1.23 2.03 limits 1.0-1.4). <i>venter</i> Days to death Mean Range
F Y = (2) *Figures in p ¹ Lines did not	9.53X - (63) arenthes t contrad le 3. R Sex M	es denote the es denote the ict the hypoth esults of n weight (g)	2 e standard err esis of parallel o-choice fe feeding period (days)	0.59 or of the slop ism (Chi-sq. 2 eding tests Mortality (dead/ - treated)	0.50–0.6 pe of regressio 2.2; 5 d.f.), usin 2.2; 5 d.f.), usin 3. with 4 con Bait inta Last day of prebait	99 0. In line. In line. In the sast of the sast of poison		0.74–1.4 , the relative F)madiolone al dose of m (mg/kg) Range 0.30–0.66	4 potency wa e against Survi poise Mean	1.03 0 s 1.2 (95% fiduc t <i>Rattus arge</i> ived dose of on (mg/kg) Range).83-2.03	.0-1.4).
*Figures in p ¹ Lines did not Tahl	arenthes : contradi le 3. R Sex M	es denote the ict the hypoth esults of n Mean body weight (g)	s standard err esis of parallel o-choice fe Feeding period (days)	or of the slop ism (Chi-sq. 2 eding tests Mortality (dead/ treated)	pe of regression 2.2; 5 d.f.), usin 2.2; 5 d.f.), usin 2.2; 5 d.f.), usin 3.2; 5 d.f.), usin Bait inta Last day of prebait	n line. a females as r icentration ike (g) First day of poison	reference s of brc Leth Mean	, the relative F 3madiolone al dose of Range 0 30-0 66	potency wa e against Survi Mean	s 1.2 (95% fiduc t <i>Rattus arge.</i> ved dose of on (mg/g) Range	cial limits 1 <i>intivente</i> Days t Mean	.0-1.4).
Tahl	Sex M		o-choice fe Feeding period (days) 1	eding tests Mortality (dead/ - treated)	; with 4 con- Bait inta Last day of prebait	centration	s of brc Leth Mean	madiolone al dose of m (mg/kg) Range 0 30-0 66	e against Survi Mcan	t Rattus arge, ved dose of on (mg/kg) Range	ntivente Days t Mean	o death Range
	M Sex	Mean body weight (g) 213.1		Mortality (dead/ treated) 5/20	Bait inta Last day of prebait	ike (g) First day of poison	Leth poiso Mean		Survi poix Mean	ved dose of on (mg/kg) Range	Days t Mean	o death Range
Concen-	Z	weight (g) 213.1	period (days) 1	(dead/ treated) 5/20	Last day of prebait	First day of poison	- Mean		Mean	Range	Mean	Range
tration of poison (%)	M	213.1	1	5/20	•	tc	0.45	0_0_0_0			0	
0.001		0.011			8.65	8.37	2.1.2	~~~~	0.39	0.19 - 0.60	8.0	4 - 10
	ᄕ	142.9	1	3/20	5.78	5.18	0.41	0.36 - 0.50	0.35	0.20 - 0.54	7.0	4 - 10
	M	208.0	2	14/20	7.92	7.99	0.84	0.47 - 1.04	0.65	0.40 - 0.90	8.1	5 - 14
	ц	150.7	2	12/20	6.32	6.10	0.89	0.55 - 1.17	0.76	0.42 - 1.19	8.7	5-12
	M	208.5	£	19/20	8.02	7.54	1.19	0.72 - 1.83	0.64	ļ	8.3	6-12
	н	153.6	ĸ	18/20	5.83	5.63	1.11	0.62 - 1.55	0.71	0.63 - 0.79	8.3	6-12
	Σ	197.7	4	20/20	8.11	7.30	1.43	0.76 - 2.21	I	I	7.4	4 - 12
	ц	136.5	4	20/20	4.82	4.31	1.22	0.27 - 2.23	ł	Í	8.3	5-11
0.002	M	214.1	1	5/10	8.91	7.76	0.83	0.34 - 1.28	0.67	0.29 - 1.07	9.2	8-11
	ц	142.3	1	7/10	6.99	6.58	1.00	0.66 - 1.37	0.88	0.60 - 1.34	7.0	5-9
	Σ	177.8	2	10/10	9.34	16.8	1.94	1.03 - 2.99	I	I	7.5	4 - 10
	Ц	139.8	2	10/10	6.00	6.86	16.1	1.51 - 2.90	I	I	8.8	4 - 14
0.005	Σ	252.7	1	8/10	6.81	5.81	1.47	1.17-2.14	0.02		7.0	5-10
	Ŀ	154.9		8/10	5.01	4.22	1.77	1.07 - 2.56	0.01	0 - 0.01	0.0	4-12
	Σ	192.7	2	10/10	7.22	7.42	3.72	1.90 - 5.40	I	I	6.4	4-12
	Ĺ	133.8	2	10/10	5.84	4.71	3.61	2.19 - 5.47	l	I	6.1	4- 9
0.01	Σ	160.4	1	10/10	9.22	8.29	5.20	2.47-7.38	Ι	I	5.3	4- 8
	ц	141.4	-	10/10	5.57	5.63	4.00	3.21 - 5.03	I	I	8.3	6-11

= ≻ W		۶d.		(days)	of LFP ₅₀ (days)	(days)	LFP ₉₅ (days)		(days)	of LFP ₉₉ (days)
	$= 4.89 \mathrm{X} + 4.24$ (0.93)*	0.81	7	1.43	1.13-1.71	3.11	2.49-4.67		4.28	3.21-7.63
F Y =	Y = 5.20X + 3.84 (0.93)	0.94	7	1.67	1.36-1.96	3.46	2.81-5.01	_	4.68	3.58-7.84
(M+F) Y =	Y = 4.99X + 4.05 (0.65)	1.75	3	1.55	1.34-1.75	3.31	2.82-4.21		4.53	3.66-6.34
M+F) = Pool Figures in par	(M+F) = Pooled data of males and females. *Figures in parentheses denote the standard error of the slope of regression line. Table 5. Bait consumption and mortality of between plain and bromadic	and fema the standa ile 5. Ba	les. Ird error of it consum betwe	the slope of the s	nales and females. note the standard error of the slope of regression line. Table 5. Bait consumption and mortality of <i>Rattus argentiventer</i> given a choice between plain and bromadiolone baits for 2 days	attus argen ie baits foi	<i>ttiventer</i> givel r 2 days	n a choi	e	
M	Mean body	Concent	Concentration of	Mean d	Mean daily bait intake (g)	No of ra	No. of rate proferring	t walna		Mortality
	weight (g)	poiso	poison (%)	Plain	Poison	pl pl	plain')	(dead/treated)
Μ	215.2	0.	0.002	4.12	4.45	4	4/10	-0.76N.S.	I.S.	7/10
ш	163.0	0.1	0.002	2.81	2.59	ę	6/10	0.34N.S.	I.S.	5/10
Μ	190.7	0.1	0.005	3.36	4.59	4	4/10	-1.42N.S.	I.S.	8/10
н	135.9	0.1	0.005	3.40	3.44	5	5/10	-0.06N.S.	I.S.	10/10
Σ	168.1	0.1	0.01	4.20	2.79		01/2	1.66N.S.	I.S.	9/10
Ĺ	143.3	0.1	0.01	2.99	3.24	5	5/10	-0.33N.S.	I.S.	10/10
M	196.7	0.1	0.02	3.88	4.20	5	5/10	-0.44N.S.	l.S.	10/10
ű	161.3	0.1	0.02	3.06	3.36	5	3/10	-0.62N.S.	I.S.	10/10
М	224.2	0.1	0.03	6.83	1.94	5	9/10	4.39**	*	8/10
ĹĽ	162.9	0.1	0.03	3.52	1.80	6	7/10	2.65*		8/10
M	233.4	0.1	0.05	5.42	3.18	5	9/10	2.95*		10/10
	187.9	0.1	0.05	3.25	2.40	5	5/10	0.93N.	LS.	10/10

and female rats showed significant aversion (P<0.05) to the 0.03% bromadiolone baits. However, at 0.05% only the males showed significant aversion (P<0.01) to the bromadiolone baits (*Table 5*).

DISCUSSION

Bromadiolone showed very good properties against *R*. rodenticidal argentiventer, with a single-dose oral LD₅₀ of 0.49 mg/kg and 0.59 mg/kg for males and females respectively (Table 2). Females appeared to be less susceptible to bromadiolone than the males. However, there was no significant difference in the LD₅₀ and LFP₅₀ values between the sexes. Compared with the other two second generation anticoagulant rodenticides, brodifacoum and difenacoum, bromadiolone is about three times less toxic than brodifacoum (LD₅₀ -0.17 mg/kg; LAM, 1980) but more toxic than difenacoum (LD₅₀ - 0.82 mg/kg for males and 0.68 mg/kg for females; LAM, 1984a) against R. argentiventer. As found in brodifacoum (LAM, 1980) and difenacoum (LAM, 1984a), bromadiolone could induce death with a single feeding. In one-day nochoice tests, bromadiolone at 0.005% and 0.01% respectively caused 80% and 100%mortalities in both sexes (Table 3).

There was considerable variation in the susceptibility of R. argentiventer to bromadiolone. One male survived a dose of 1.07 mg/kg which exceeded the estimated LD₉₉ of 0.69 mg/kg for males and similarly one female survived a dose of 1.34 mg/kg which also exceeded the estimated LD₉₉ of 1.03 mg/kg for females. When these values were compared with the lowest lethal doses of 0.30 mg/kg for males and 0.36 mg/kg for females, it could be seen that the above surviving rats were at least 3.0 to 4.5 times inherently more tolerant than those highly susceptible. It could be expected that this inherent tolerance could give rise to the prospect of resistance to bromadiolone after prolonged use. Resistance to warfarin has since been reported in Rattus rattus diardii (LAM, LEE, TAN and MOHAN, 1982; LAM, 1984b) and to coumatetralyl in Rattus tiomanicus (Ho and LAM, 1983). For the detection of resistance to bromadiolone, a five-day feeding on a sole diet containing 0.001% bromadiolone, based on the LFP₉₉, is a suitable screening test.

Palatability (choice) tests indicated that bromadiolone was highly acceptable to R. argentiventer up to a concentration of 0.02 per cent. Results of the choice feeding tests also indicated that the optimum concentration for achieving 100% mortality in both sexes under choice ad libitum condition was 0.02% (Table 5). Even at concentrations of 0.005% and 0.01% bromadiolone caused 80% - 90% mortalities in the males and 100% mortality in the females. Compared with brodifacoum (LAM, 1980) and difenacoum (LAM, 1984a), bromadiolone is more palatable to R. argentiventer. Significant preference for plain baits was evident at 0.005% for brodifacoum (LAM, 1980) and at 0.001% for difenacoum (LAM, 1984a). Bromadiolone has also been shown to be highly palatable to R. norvegicus, R. rattus and M. musculus at 0.005% in choice feeding tests (MARSH, 1977; MEEHAN, 1978; REDFERN and GILL, 1980) and results have indicated that R. norvegicus could just detect bromadiolone at 0.01% (MEEHAN, 1978).

In conclusion, bromadiolone is a very potent anticoagulant and it is a valuable addition to the arsenal of rodenticides against *R. argentiventer* and other rodent pests of agricultural crops. Bromadiolone at 0.005% - 0.02% would be suitable for use against field populations of *R. argentiventer*. However, field trials would be required to evaluate its efficacy in controlling wild populations of *R. argentiventer*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to LIPHA, France and Hoechst Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. for the supply of bromadiolone; Mr. Heong Kong Luen for help in the computer analyses; Mr. Yap Beng Ho for statistical analyses; Mr. Lee Ah Kaw for technical assistance and Ms. Ramlah Ab. Razak for typing the manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Bromadiolone showed very good rodenticidal properties against *Rattus argentiventer*. The singledose oral LD_{50} for males and females were 0.49 mg/kg and 0.59 mg/kg respectively. The median lethal feeding period (LFP₅₀) of 0.001% bromadiolone against males and females were 1.43 days and 1.67 days respectively. The pooled LFP₅₀ of 0.001% bromadiolone against both sexes was 1.55 days and the pooled LFP₅₀ was 4.53 days. Bromadiolone was found to have a single-feed action. In one-day no-choice tests, bromadiolone at 0.005% and 0.01% caused 80% and 100% mortalities in both sexes respectively. The mean days to death for males and females were 7.5 days and 7.9 days respectively. Palatability tests indicated the bromadiolone was palatable up to 0.02 per cent. Bromadiolone at 0.005% – 0.02% could be used in the field for the control of *R. argentiventer*.

REFERENCES

- DAUM, R.J. (1970). A revision of two computer programs for probit analysis. Bull. ent. Soc. Am. 16, 10-5.
- FINNEY, D.J. (1971). Probit Analysis, 3rd ed., 333 pp. Cambridge: Camb. Univ. Press.
- GRAND, M. (1976). Experimental data on a new anticoagulant raticide : bromadiolone. *Phytiatrie*-*Phytopharmacie* 25, 69–88 (in French).
- Ho, C.T. and LAM, Y.M. (1983). An investigation of possible tolerance to coumatetralyl in *Rattus tiomanicus*. MAPPS' Newsl. 7(1), 12-3 (suppl.).
- LAM, Y.M. (1979). The toxicity of warfarin to the rice field rat, *Rattus argentiventer* (Robinson & Kloss). *Malays. agric. J.* 52(2), 177-81.
- _____ (1980). Laboratory evaluation of brodifacoum for use against the rice field rat, *Rattus argentiventer* (Robinson & Kloss). *Malays. agric. J.* 52(4), 1–7.
- _____ (1984a). Laboratory evaluation of difenacoum against the rice field rat, *Rattus argentiventer*. *MARDI Res. Bull.* 12(2), 194-9.
- (1984b). Further evidence of resistance to warfarin in *Rattus rattus diardii*. MARDI Res. Bull. 12(3), 373-9.
- LAM, Y.M., LEE, A.K., TAN, Y.P. and MOHAN, E. (1982).

A case of warfarin resistance in Rattus rattus diardii (Jentink). MARDI Res. Bull. 10(3), 378-83.

- LUND, M. (1977). New rodenticides against anticoagulant-resistant rats and mice. E.P.P.O. Bull. 7(2), 503-8.
- MARSH, R.E. (1977). Bromadiolone, a new anticoagulant rodenticide. E.P.P.O. Bull. 7(2), 495–502.
- MARSH, R.E., HOWARD, W.E. and JACKSON, W.B. (1980). Bromadiolone, a new toxicant for rodent control. *Pest Control* 48(8), 22,24,26.
- MEEHAN, A.P. (1978). Rodenticidal activity of bromadiolone – a new anticoagulant. Proc. 8th Vert. Pest Conf., Sacramento, 1978, pp. 127–37.
- REDFERN, R. and GILL, J.E. (1980). Laboratory evaluation of bromadiolone as a rodenticide for use against warfarin-resistant and non-resistant rats and mice. J. Hyg. Camb. 84, 263-8.
- RICHARDS, C.G.J. (1981). Field trials of bromadiolone against infestations of warfarin-resistant *Rattus* norvegicus. J. Hyg. Camb. 86, 363-7.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANISATION (1982). Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of rodents to anticoagulant rodenticides. WHO/ VBC/82.843, 9 pp.

Accepted for publication on 3rd August, 1985.